

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

en

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

| APPLICATION NO.                             | FILING DATE                            | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|--|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/489,954                                  | 01/24/2000                             | Pierre C. Fazan      | 303.434US2          | 6507             |
|   | 590 02/05/2003                         | ·                    |                     |                  |
| SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A. |  |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
|   | P.O. BOX 2938<br>MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402 |                      | KANG, DONGHEE       |                  |
|   |  |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |  |                      | 2811                |                  |
| •   | DATE MAILED: 02/05/2003                |                      |                     |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | A   |   | -am   |
|---|---|---|---|
|   | Application No.   | licant(s)   | ••  |
| Advisory Action   | 09/489,954  | FAZAN ET AL.  |   |
|   | Examiner  | Art Unit  |   |
|   | Donghee Kang  | 2811  |   |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app  | ears on the cover sheet wit   | th the correspondence add   | dress   |
| THE REPLY FILED 13 January 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.  | avoid abandonment of this<br>(1) a timely filed amendme   | s application. A proper reent which places the appli  | eply to a<br>ication in   |
| PERIOD FOR RI   | EPLY [check either a) or b  | ))]   |   |
| a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The draw been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set for han SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS atte on which the petition under 37 nation and the corresponding amount statutory period for reply original | ng date of the final rejection.  OF THE FINAL REJECTION.  CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate examples and of the fee. The appropriate examples are in the final Office action; or | See MPEP te extension fee dension fee under r (2) as set forth in |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF   |   |   |   |
| $2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by   | because:  |   |   |
| (a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furth  | ner consideration and/or se   | earch (see NOTE below);   |   |
| (b) $\square$ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note  | below);   |   |   |
| (c)  they are not deemed to place the application<br>issues for appeal; and/or  | in better form for appeal l   | by materially reducing or   | simplifying the   |
| (d) they present additional claims without cance  | eling a corresponding num   | ber of finally rejected clai  | ms.   |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.   |   |   |   |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection   | ction(s):   |   |   |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).   | d be allowable if submitted   | d in a separate, timely file  | d amendment   |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: _  |   | en considered but does No   | OT place the  |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  | ecause it is not directed SC  | DLELY to issues which we  | ere newly   |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w  |   |   | and an  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows   | <b>:</b> :  |   |   |
| Claim(s) allowed:   |   |   |   |
| Claim(s) objected to:   |   |   |   |
| Claim(s) rejected:  |   |   |   |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |   |   |   |
| 8. $\square$ The proposed drawing correction filed on is  | s a) approved or b)   | disapproved by the Exar   | niner.  |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme   | ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper N   | No(s)   |   |
| 10. Other:  | .*  |   |   |
|   |   | TOM THOMAS  | ~°  |

SUPERVISION METERIT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 09/489,954

11





Continuation of 2. NOTE: Newly added limitations, such as "including an electrode", would require further search and/or reconsideration..